|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Exemplary  (2 points) | Good  (1 point) | Poor  (0 points) |
| Knowledge of the Subject Matter | Original post brings forth new or expanded ideas that reflect high-level critical thinking on the topic and demonstrate practical application. | Original post illustrates basic knowledge of the topic without applying or expanding idea. | Original post displays only a minimal grasp of the concepts covered  Does not expand upon the central concepts. |
| Evidence of Research | Original post applies research to support and extend ideas. Original post should be 200-300 words. Citations provided support posting. | Original post uses research to support ideas, but fails to provide citations. | Original post lacks supporting research. |
| Responses to Others | Support or refute the original posting and furthers the discussion with critical analysis. Follow-up responses should be at least 100 words. References are optional. | Supports or refutes the original posting **without** furthering the discussion through critical analysis. | Does not refer to the posting directly or simply agrees or disagrees without explanation or no response. |
| Timeliness | Initial posting completed by Friday **AND** response posted by Monday to others. | Initial posting completed by Saturday and response by Tuesday. | Initial posting after Saturday **OR** response after Tuesday. |
| Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling | Absence of errors. Uses compound sentence structure. Clear that posts have been proofread and/or run through grammar and spell check. | Minimal errors. Sentence structure correct, but basic. | Substantial errors with significant grammatical and structural problems. |

*\*\* Adapted with permission from Loyola University-Chicago*